PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

arXiv:1402.7114v1 [hep-th] 28 Feb 2014

Universe acceleration in modified gravities: $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ cases

Kazuharu Bamba[*]
KMI, Nagoya University

Sergei D. Odintsov[*abc†]
[a]*Department of Physics, Nagoya University*
Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
[b]*Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA),*
Barcelona, Spain
[c]*Institut de Ciencies de l'Espai (CSIC-IEEC), Campus UAB, Facultat de Ciencies,*
Torre C5-Par-2a pl, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

We review recent progress on cosmological issues and theoretical properties of modified gravity theories. In particular, we explicitly explore the conformal transformation, the Starobinsky inflation, and a unified scenario of inflation and late time acceleration in $F(R)$ gravity and $F(T)$ gravity (extended teleparallel gravity). Furthermore, we examine neutron stars and the hyperon problem in $F(R)$ gravity. Moreover, for loop quantum cosmology (LQC), the natures of finite-time future singularities in $F(T)$ gravity are presented. In addition, we investigate $F(T)$ gravity theories from the Kaluza-Klein (KK) and Randall-Sundrum (RS) theories.

PACS: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Cq, 11.25.Mj, 04.50.Cd

KMI International Symposium 2013 on AgQuest for the Origin of Particles and the Universe",
11-13 December, 2013
Nagoya University, Japan

[*]Speakers.
[†]Also at Tomsk State Pedagogical University, Tomsk, Russia.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

1. Introduction

Quite precise cosmological observations such as Type Ia Supernovae have revealed the current accelerated expansion of the universe. For the homogeneous and isotropic universe, there are two representative ways of explaining this phenomenon. First is the introduction of dark energy with negative pressure in general relativity. Second is the modification of gravity on the long distance, e.g., $F(R)$ gravity [1, 2, 3] (for reviews on dark energy and modified gravity, see, for instance, [4, 5, 6, 7]).

In this article, among various modified gravity theories, we concentrate on $F(R)$ gravity and $F(T)$ gravity with T the torsion scalar in teleparallelism [8] and review the recent developments on cosmological issues and their theoretical properties. We use units of $k_{\rm B} = c = \hbar = 1$ and denote the gravitational constant $8\pi G$ by $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi/{M_{\rm Pl}}^2$ with the Planck mass of $M_{\rm Pl} = G^{-1/2} = 1.2 \times 10^{19}$ GeV.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review various cosmological subjects in $F(R)$ gravity. First, we explain the action and derive the gravitational equations. We explore the conformal transformation from the Jordan frame to the Einstein frame and its inverse transformation. After that, we investigate R^2 inflation, namely, the so-called Starobinsky inflation. Also, we present a unified model of inflation and dark energy era, and describe the properties of the finite-time future singularities. Moreover, we study neutron stars and the issue of hyperon. For the recent study of stars in dilaton gravity, see [9]. Next, in Sec. III, we explain significant cosmological issues in $F(T)$ gravity. To begin with, we present the formulation of teleparallelism. We state the impossibility of the conformal transformation from $F(T)$ gravity in the Jordan frame into pure teleparallel gravity with a scalar field, which corresponds to the Einstein frame in the ordinary curvature gravity. In addition, we examine the analogue of the Starobinsky inflation, i.e., T^2 inflation. Furthermore, we illustrate the unification of inflation in the early universe and the late time cosmic acceleration at the dark energy dominated stage. We also mention the finite-time future singularities in loop quantum cosmology (LQC). Moreover, we study a conformally invariant $F(T)$ gravity theory. We further explore trace-anomaly driven inflation. In Sec. IV, we review our main results on $F(T)$ gravity theories from the Kaluza-Klein (KK) and Randall-Sundrum (RS) theories in Ref. [10]. In Sec. V, summary are described.

2. $F(R)$ gravity

We present the brief review of $F(R)$ gravity. The action describing $F(R)$ gravity with matter is represented by

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{F(R)}{2\kappa^2} + \int d^4x \mathscr{L}_{\rm matter}(g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi_{\rm matter})\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, g is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathscr{L}_{\rm matter}$ is the Lagrangian of matter, and $\Phi_{\rm matter}$ denotes matter fields. Variation of the action in Eq. (2.1) with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the gravitational equation

$$F'(R)\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) = \kappa^2 T^{\rm matter}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(F'(R)R - f\right) + \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu F'(R) - g_{\mu\nu}\Box F'(R)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where the prime means the derivative with respect to R as $F'(R) \equiv dF(R)/dR$, ∇_μ is the covariant derivative, $\Box \equiv g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$ is the covariant d'Alembertian, and $T^{\rm matter}_{\mu\nu}$ the energy-momentum tensor of matter.

We suppose the flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(dx^i\right)^2$ with $a(t)$ the scale factor. In this background, if matter is a perfect fluid, it follows from Eq. (2.2) that the gravitational field equations read

$$3F'(R)H^2 = \kappa^2\rho_{\rm matter} + \frac{1}{2}\left(F'(R)R - F(R)\right) - 3H\dot{F}'(R)\,, \tag{2.3}$$

$$-2F'(R)\dot{H} = \kappa^2\left(\rho_{\rm matter} + P_{\rm matter}\right) + \ddot{F}'(R) - H\dot{F}'(R)\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Here, $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, and the dot denotes the time derivative. Moreover, $\rho_{\rm matter}$ and $P_{\rm matter}$ are the energy density and pressure of matter, respectively.

2.1 Conformal transformation

We make conformal transformation on the action in Eq. (2.1). Using an auxiliary field χ, the action in Eq. (2.1) is expressed as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left[F(\chi) + (R-\chi)\frac{dF(\chi)}{d\chi}\right] + \int d^4x\mathscr{L}_{\rm matter}(g_{\mu\nu},\Phi_{\rm matter})\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Varying this action with respect to χ leads to $(R-\chi)d^2F(\chi)/d\chi^2 = 0$. Assuming $d^2F(\chi)/d\chi^2 \neq 0$, we have $R = \chi$. By substituting this relation into the action in Eq. (2.5), we see that the original action in Eq. (2.1) is recovered. Through the conformal transformation $g_{\mu\nu} \to \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ with $\Omega^2 = \mathscr{A}$, where $\mathscr{A} \equiv dF(\chi)/d\chi$ we acquire [11, 12, 13]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left(\frac{\hat{R}}{2\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - V(\phi)\right) + \int d^4x\mathscr{L}_{\rm matter}(\mathscr{A}^{-1}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu},\Phi_{\rm matter})\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$V(\phi) \equiv \frac{\mathscr{A}\hat{R} - F}{2\kappa^2\mathscr{A}^2}\,, \quad \phi \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{1}{\kappa}\ln\mathscr{A}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Here, the hat shows quantities in the Einstein frame.

Next, by following the investigations in Ref. [14], we explore the inverse conformal transformation from the Einstein frame to the Jordan frame. Here, for simplicity, we consider the system consisting of gravity and a scalar field parts without other matter. From the action in Eq. (2.6), we have

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left(\frac{\hat{R}}{2\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\psi)\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi - W(\psi)\right)\,, \tag{2.8}$$

with the coefficient function $\omega(\psi)$ for the kinetic term of the scalar field ψ and $W(\psi)$ the potential of ψ. We introduce other scalar field σ, defined by $\sigma \equiv \int d\psi\sqrt{|\omega(\psi)|}$, and represent the action in Eq. (2.8) as $S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left[\hat{R}/\left(2\kappa^2\right) \mp (1/2)\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\sigma\partial_\nu\sigma - \tilde{W}(\sigma)\right]$. Here, for the positive (negative) sign of $\omega(\psi)$, that of the kinetic term is '$-$' ('$+$'), namely, the action describes the non-phantom (phantom) phase, and $\tilde{W}(\sigma)$ is the potential of σ. We examine the action with the '$-$' sign kinetic term, i.e., σ is a canonical scalar field. We make the inverse conformal transformation:

$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \to \exp\left(\pm\sqrt{2/3}\kappa\sigma\right) g_{\mu\nu}$, so that the kinetic term of σ can be removed from the action shown above. Accordingly, we obtain

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{\exp\left(\pm\sqrt{2/3}\kappa\sigma\right)}{2\kappa^2}R - \exp\left(\pm 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\kappa\sigma\right)\tilde{W}(\sigma)\right] . \tag{2.9}$$

This is considered to be the action in the Jordan frame. Moreover, the equation of motion for σ is given by $R = 2\kappa\exp\left(\pm\sqrt{2/3}\kappa\sigma\right)\left(2\kappa\tilde{W}(\sigma) \pm \sqrt{3/2}d\tilde{W}(\sigma)/d\sigma\right)$. This can be solved as $\sigma = \sigma(R)$. As a consequence, we acquire the action for an $F(R)$ gravity theory in Eq. (2.1) without matter action, where the form of $F(R)$ is expressed as $F(R) = \exp\left(\pm\sqrt{2/3}\kappa\sigma(R)\right)R - 2\kappa^2\exp\left(\pm 2\sqrt{2/3}\kappa\sigma(R)\right)\tilde{W}(\sigma(R))$.

It is important to point out that in the presence of matter, we have matter which couples with some function of R, and then it may be impossible to get a solution of ϕ as $\phi(R)$, as it will depend also on $\mathscr{L}_{\rm matter}(g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi_{\rm matter})$.

2.2 R^2 inflation (the Starobinsky inflation)

The action for an R^2 theory without matter is written as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(R + \frac{1}{6M_{\rm S}^2}R^2\right) , \tag{2.10}$$

with $M_{\rm S}$ a mass scale. For R^2 inflation model [15], from Eq. (2.7) we obtain [11, 12, 13]

$$V(\phi) = \frac{3M_{\rm s}^2}{4\kappa^2}\left[1 - \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\kappa\phi\right)\right]^2 . \tag{2.11}$$

The PLANCK analysis shows the spectral index $n_{\rm s} = 0.9603 \pm 0.0073$ (68% CL) for the scalar mode of curvature perturbations and the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r < 0.11$ (95% CL) [16]. Provided that $\phi \gg \phi_{\rm f}$, where $\phi_{\rm f}$ is the value of ϕ at the end of inflation $t = t_{\rm f}$. When the number of e-folds $N_{\rm ar} \equiv \int_t^{t_{\rm f}} H dt = (3/4)\exp\left(\sqrt{2/3}\kappa\phi\right) = 50$ from the end of inflation to the time when the curvature perturbation with the comoving wave number $k = k_{\rm cross}$ crossed the horizon, for the super-horizon modes, i.e., $k \ll aH$, we have $n_{\rm s} \equiv 1 + d\ln\Delta^2_{\mathscr{R}}(k)/d\ln k\big|_{k=k_{\rm cross}} = 1 - 6\varepsilon + 2\eta \simeq 1 - 2/N_{\rm ar} = 0.96$ and $r = 16\varepsilon = 12/N_{\rm ar}^2 = 4.8 \times 10^{-3}$, the value of which is much smaller than the upper limit ($r < 0.11$) suggested by PLANCK. Here, $\Delta^2_{\mathscr{R}}$ is the amplitude of scalar modes of the primordial curvature perturbations at $k = 0.002\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}$ [17, 18].

It is remarkable to note that in the presence of matter, through the conformal transformation, the matter Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) would transformed into the form in Eq. (2.6).

In Ref. [19], referring to the success of R^2 inflation (the Starobinsky inflation), the reconstruction of an $F(R)$ gravity theory from a scalar field theory in the Einstein frame, which has an appropriate potential to realize inflation satisfying the observational constraints found by PLANCK. As an example, $V(\phi) = b_0 + b_1\exp(\sqrt{1/3}\kappa\phi) + b_2\exp(2\sqrt{1/3}\kappa\phi)$ with b_i $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ constants. By combining this expression with the first relation in (2.7), we find $F(R) = [-b_1/(2b_0)]R + [1/(4b_0)]R^2 + \mathscr{C}$ with $\mathscr{C} \equiv b_1^2/(4b_0) - b_2$. For R^2 inflation, since $F(R) = R + \left[1/\left(6M_{\rm S}^2\right)\right]R^2$, we have $-b_1/(2b_0) = 1$ and $b_0 = b_2$. As a result, if $b_1 = 3M_{\rm S}^2/\left(4\kappa^2\right)$, the potential of the corresponding scalar field theory to R^2 inflation $V(\phi)$ in Eq. (2.11) can be obtained.

2.3 Unified scenario of inflation and dark energy era and finite-time future singularities

The first proposal of unification of inflation and dark energy in $F(R)$ gravity ([20, 21]) has been made in Ref. [3]. Its realistic extension for exponential $F(R)$ gravity was given in Refs. [22, 23]. There has been proposed an $F(R)$ gravity theory where inflation and late time cosmic acceleration can be realized in a unified manner [22, 23]

$$F(R) = R - 2\Lambda\left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R}{\Lambda}\right)\right] - \Lambda_{\rm inf}\left\{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{R}{R_{\rm inf}}\right)^n\right]\right\} + \overline{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{\rm inf}^{\alpha-1}}\right)R^{\alpha}\,, \qquad (2.12)$$

with $R_{\rm inf}$ and $\Lambda_{\rm inf}$ representative values of the scalar curvature and cosmological constant at the inflationary stage, respectively, $n(>1)$ a natural number, $\overline{\gamma}(>0)$ a positive dimensional constant, and α a real number. The last term plays an important role to realize the graceful exit from inflation at the inflation scale $\tilde{R}_{\rm inf}$. In fact, for $\alpha > 1$ and $n > 1$, there is no influence of inflation on the evolution of the universe at the small curvature, and inflation does not have any effects on the stability of the matter dominated stage.

It is known that depending on the model, in the limit $t \to t_{\rm s}$, there may appear finite-time future singularities which were classified as follows [24].

(i) Type I ("Big Rip") singularity: When $t \to t_{\rm s}$, $a \to \infty$, $\rho_{\rm eff} \to \infty$, and $|P_{\rm eff}| \to \infty$. Here, $\rho_{\rm eff}$ and $P_{\rm eff}$ are equivalent to the total energy density and pressure of the universe, respectively. The case that $\rho_{\rm eff}$ and $P_{\rm eff}$ become finite values at $t_{\rm s}$ is included.

(ii) Type II ("sudden") singularity: When $t \to t_{\rm s}$, $a \to a_{\rm s}$, $\rho_{\rm eff} \to \rho_{\rm s}$, and $|P_{\rm eff}| \to \infty$.

(iii) Type III singularity: When $t \to t_{\rm s}$, $a \to a_{\rm s}$, $\rho_{\rm eff} \to \infty$, and $|P_{\rm eff}| \to \infty$.

(iv) Type IV singularity: When $t \to t_{\rm s}$, $a \to a_{\rm s}$, $\rho_{\rm eff} \to 0$, and $|P_{\rm eff}| \to 0$. Only higher derivatives of H becomes infinity. This also includes the case that $\rho_{\rm eff}$ and/or $|P_{\rm eff}|$ become finite values at $t = t_{\rm s}$. Here, $t_{\rm s}$, $a_{\rm s}(\neq 0)$ and $\rho_{\rm s}$ are constants.

It is remarkable that adding R^2 term to such models with finite-time future singularities may lead to complete removal of finite-time future singularities. From other side, R^2 term induces early-time inflation. Hence, we achieve two goals: unification of inflation with dark energy and cancellation of finite-time future singularities. For the detailed study of removal of finite-time future singularities in $F(R)$ gravity via adding of R^2 term, which induces inflation within a unified manner, one can consult Ref. [25].

2.4 Neutron stars and the puzzle of hyperon

By following the investigations in Ref. [26], we describe a model of neutron stars and present a solution for the issue of hyperon, i.e., the recently observed neutron star with its mass about $2M_{\odot}$ cannot be realized by using an equation of state (EoS) for hyperons, in $F(R)$ gravity. In the case of the action in Eq. (2.1) with $F(R) = R + d_1R^2 + d_2R^3$, where d_1 and d_2 are constants, for a soft equation of state (EoS) for hyperon, it is demonstrated that the maximum mass of a neutron star can explains the observations of the pulsar PSR J1614-2230 [27], and that the resultant Mass-Radius relation can be compatible with the observations.

To begin with, we write the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation in $F(R)$ gravity. With the EoS for hyperons, we examine a neutron star model in the power-law $F(R)$ gravity model shown above. We draw the diagram of a Mass-Radius relation in the $F(R)$ gravity model and

compare it with that in general relativity. Furthermore, we explore whether the maximum mass of the neutron stars and the relation between Mass and Radius consistent with the observations can be derived in $F(R)$ gravity.

The analyzed results are summarized as follows. In general relativity, for a hyperon model, the maximum mass of neutron stars is constrained to be smaller than around two solar mass by softening the EoS for nucleons, thanks to the hyperonization. On the other hand, in the power-law $F(R)$ gravity model as $F(R) = R + d_1 R^2 + d_2 R^3$, which is a simple approximation of a more complicated non-linear form of $F(R)$, with a EoS for hyperons, the mass of neutron stars can reach around two solar mass. It should be emphasized that only in the central part of neutron stars with its high density, the resultant Mass-Radius relation is different from that in general relativity. Accordingly, a EoS for neutron stars is softened effectively, so that the relation between Mass and Radius for the observed neutron stars: EXO 1745-248, 4U 1608-52, and 4U 1820-30 can be explained. It may be interpreted that the following three subjects are resolved in the framework of modified gravity: the maximum mass of a neutron star, the Mass-Radius relation, and the puzzle of hyperons. A future subject would be to develop the way of solving the TOV equation non-perturbatively, and that in order to execute it, it is necessary to develop more sophisticated numerical techniques and to understand the so-called chameleon mechanism, which is a shielding effect of the deviation of $F(R)$ gravity from general relativity as well as quantum gravity in very high density region.

3. $F(T)$ gravity

We introduce orthonormal tetrad components $e_A(x^\mu)$ $(A = 0,1,2,3)$ in teleparallelism [8]. Here, the index A is used at each point x^μ for a tangent space of the manifold, and hence e_A^μ is the so-called vierbein, namely, a tangent vector for the manifold. The metric tensor is given by $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} e_\mu^A e_\nu^B$ $(\mu, \nu = 0,1,2,3)$, where μ and ν are coordinate indices on the manifold. Also, the inverse of the vierbein is derived from the equation $e_\mu^A e_A^\nu = \delta_\mu^\nu$. The torsion tensor is constructed as $T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^{(\mathrm{W})\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^{(\mathrm{W})\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = e_A^\rho \left(\partial_\mu e_\nu^A - \partial_\nu e_\mu^A\right)$, where $\Gamma^{(\mathrm{W})\rho}{}_{\nu\mu} \equiv e_A^\rho \partial_\mu e_\nu^A$ is the Weitzenböck connection. The torsion scalar is represented by $T \equiv S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = (1/4) T^{\rho\mu\nu} T_{\rho\mu\nu} + (1/2) T^{\rho\mu\nu} T_{\nu\mu\rho} - T_{\rho\mu}{}^\rho T^{\nu\mu}{}_\nu$. Here, the superpotential is defined by $S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} \equiv (1/2)\left(K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho + \delta_\rho^\mu T^{\alpha\nu}{}_\alpha - \delta_\rho^\nu T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha\right)$ with $K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho \equiv -(1/2)\left(T^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho - T^{\nu\mu}{}_\rho - T_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}\right)$ the contortion tensor. By using T, the action for the modified teleparallel gravity with matter is expressed as

$$S = \int d^4x |e| \frac{F(T)}{2\kappa^2} + \int d^4x \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{matter}}(g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi_{\mathrm{matter}}) \,. \tag{3.1}$$

where $|e| = \det\left(e_\mu^A\right) = \sqrt{-g}$.

It is important to caution that there does not exist the conformal transformation [28], through which an $F(T)$ gravity theory reduces to a scalar field theory in pure teleparallel gravity, although in general relativity, constructed by the Levi-Chivita connection, there exists such a conformal transformation of an $F(R)$ gravity theory into a scalar field theory with the Einstein-Hilbelt term. Therefore, we cannot examine cosmology in the corresponding action in the Einstein frame consisting of the terms of pure teleparallel gravity and a scalar field theory, which is obtained from the action of $F(T)$ gravity with the conformal transformation [29].

3.1 Analogue of the Starobinsky inflation (T^2 inflation)

The action of T^2 gravity without matter term is given by

$$S=\int d^4x|e|\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(T+\frac{1}{6M_{\rm S}^2}T^2\right)=\int d^4x|e|\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left[\left(T+2\nabla^\mu T^\rho{}_{\mu\rho}\right)+\frac{1}{6M_{\rm S}^2}T^2\right]. \tag{3.2}$$

Here, the second equality can be satisfied because the term $\nabla^\mu T^\rho{}_{\mu\rho}$ is a total derivative. Clearly, the form of this action is different from that of the action in Eq. (2.10), so that there can exist the differences of cosmology derived from these actions. In fact, T^2 inflation can be the de Sitter expansion, whereas R^2 inflation cannot it [29]. In the flat FLRW universe, for T^2 gravity, we find the Hubble parameter at the inflationary stage $H_{\rm inf}=M_{\rm S}/\sqrt{3}=$ constant, and thus the scale factor during inflation $a(t)=\bar{a}\exp\left(H_{\rm inf}t\right)=\bar{a}\exp\left[\left(M_{\rm S}/\sqrt{3}\right)t\right]$, where $\bar{a}(>0)$ is a positive constant. In case of R^2 gravity, if $\left|\ddot{H}/\left(M_{\rm S}^2H\right)\right|\ll 1$ and $\left|-\dot{H}^2/\left(M_{\rm S}^2H^2\right)\right|\ll 1$, we obtain $H=H_{\rm initial}-\left(M_{\rm S}^2/6\right)\left(t-t_{\rm initial}\right)$ and $a=a_{\rm initial}\left[H_{\rm initial}\left(t-t_{\rm initial}\right)-\left(M_{\rm S}^2/12\right)\left(t-t_{\rm initial}\right)^2\right]$. Here, $t_{\rm initial}$ is the initial time of inflation, and $H_{\rm initial}$ is the value of the Hubble parameter and $a_{\rm initial}$ is that of the scale factor at $t_{\rm initial}$. Consequently, the behavior of T^2 inflation is different from that of R^2 inflation.

3.2 Unification of inflation and the dark energy dominated stage

A unified model between inflation and the dark energy dominated stage has been proposed in Ref. [30]. In this subsection, we adopt the unit where $\kappa^2=1$. Provided that the universe is filled by a barotropic fluid with its equation of state $w_{\rm fl}\equiv P_{\rm fl}/\rho_{\rm fl}=-1-f_{\rm fl}(\rho_{\rm fl})/\rho_{\rm fl}$, where $\rho_{\rm fl}$ and $P_{\rm fl}$ are the energy density and pressure of a barotropic fluid, respectively, and $f_{\rm fl}(\rho_{\rm fl})$ is a function of $\rho_{\rm fl}$. We explore $f_{\rm fl}$ bringing with two zeros, i.e., two de Sitter solutions, leading to a cosmological constant with its large value during inflation in the early universe and a fluid with zero pressure such as dust at the late time.

The Lagrangian is described by $\mathscr{L}=V_{\rm s}F(T)-V_{\rm s}\rho_{\rm fl}(V_{\rm s})$ with $V_{\rm s}$ the spatial volume. Since the conjugate momentum is $\partial\mathscr{L}/\partial\dot{V}_{\rm s}$, we see that the Hamiltonian becomes $\mathscr{H}=\dot{V}_{\rm s}\left(\partial\mathscr{L}/\partial\dot{V}_{\rm s}\right)-\mathscr{L}=\left(2TF'(T)-F(T)+\rho_{\rm fl}\right)V_{\rm s}$, where the prime depicts the derivative with respect to T. From the Hamiltonian constraint in $F(T)$ gravity is the same as one in general relativity, namely, $\mathscr{H}=0$, with $V_{\rm s}\neq 0$, we have $\rho_{\rm fl}=F(T)-2F'(T)T$. By using this relation, we observe a curve in the plane of $(H,\rho_{\rm fl})$. For the pure teleparallel gravity, i.e., $F(T)=T$, with $T=-6H^2$, the above relation reads $T/2+\rho_{\rm fl}=0$, from which we find the Friedmann equation $H^2=\rho_{\rm fl}/3$. Hence, the dynamics is determined by the equation system $\dot{H}=f_{\rm fl}(\rho_{\rm fl})/2$ and $\dot{\rho}_{\rm fl}=3Hf_{\rm fl}(\rho_{\rm fl})$. As an example, we build a model with a small cosmological constant λ^4 so that the energy density of the universe can be $\rho_{\rm fl}+\lambda^4$. In this case, if $\rho_{\rm fl}=\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)}$ is large, the fluid behaves as a large cosmological constant, whereas, when $\rho_{\rm fl}$ is small, its pressure is almost zero. Thus, for $P_{\rm fl}=-\rho_{\rm fl}^2/\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)}$, since $w_{\rm fl}=-\rho_{\rm fl}/\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)}$, we acquire $f_{\rm fl}(\rho_{\rm fl})=-\rho_{\rm fl}\left(1-\rho_{\rm fl}/\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)}\right)$. In this model, there exist two critical points. The first point P_1 is $(H,\rho_{\rm fl})=(\lambda^2/\sqrt{3},0)$, where $w_{\rm fl}=-1$ (i.e., the de Sitter expansion) and the energy fraction of a fluid $\Omega_{\rm fl}\equiv\rho_{\rm fl}/\left(3H^2\right)\simeq 1$. The second point P_2 is $(H,\rho_{\rm fl})=(\sqrt{\left(\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)}+\lambda^4\right)/3},\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)})$, where $w_{\rm fl}=-1$ (i.e., the de Sitter expansion) and $\Omega_{\rm fl}=0$. Moreover, for $\lambda^4\ll\rho_{\rm fl}\ll\rho_{\rm fl}^{(\rm inf)}$, we see that $w_{\rm fl}\simeq 0$ and $\Omega_{\rm fl}\simeq 1$ (i.e., the matter (fluid) dominated stage). Therefore, it can be considered that in the present model, the point P_1 corresponds to the

inflationary stage, the point P_2 describes the dark energy dominated stage, and there exists the matter dominated stage between the points P_1 and P_2. In comparison with the unified model in Eq. (2.12) of inflation and the late time cosmic acceleration in $F(R)$ gravity, the results stated in the above $F(T)$ gravity model are reasonable, because also in the $F(R)$ gravity model in Eq. (2.12), inflation, the stable matter dominated stage, and the late-time cosmic acceleration can be realized.

In Ref. [31], finite-time future singularities[1] have been studied in the framework of loop quantum cosmology (LQC) (for recent reviews on LQC, see [33, 34]). It has been demonstrated that in LQG, holonomy corrections add $\rho_{\rm fl}^2$ correction term to the Friedmann equation, so that a Big Rip singularity can be cured. Furthermore, it has been investigated whether other types of finite-time future singularities can be removed in LQC. As a consequence, Big Rip singularities cannot appear because along an ellipse in the plane of $(H,\rho_{\rm fl})$, the Friedmann equation moves in the anti-clockwise manner. However, when $f_{\rm fl}$ diverges at some energy density smaller than the critical one, sudden singularities can occur

3.3 Conformally invariant $F(T)$ gravity theory

Recently, a conformally invariant scalar field theory has been investigated in Ref. [35]. In ordinary curvature gravity, the action of the conformally invariant scalar field theory is represented as

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{B_1}{2}\varphi^2R-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\varphi\nabla^\mu\varphi-\frac{\varphi^{m_1+1}}{m_1+1}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

with B_1 and m_1 constants. The variation of this action with respect to the scalar field φ leads to the equation of motion for φ as $\Box\varphi-B_1\varphi R+\varphi^{m_1}=0$. It is known in Ref. [36] that this equation is invariant under the conformal transformation for φ of $\varphi\equiv\exp(\gamma_1\eta)\,\hat{\varphi}$, where $\eta=\eta(x)$ and γ_1 is a constant, and that for $g_{\mu\nu}$ of $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}=\exp\left(\eta(x)g_{\mu\nu}\right)$, when $B_1=1/6$, $m_1=3$, and $\gamma_1=-1/2$. By analogy with the above fact, it is considered that also in teleparallel gravity, the equation of motion for φ is invariant under the conformal transformation for φ of $\varphi\equiv\exp(\gamma_2\eta)\,\hat{\varphi}$ with γ_1 a constant and that for $g_{\mu\nu}$ of $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}=\exp\left(\eta(x)g_{\mu\nu}\right)$. As a result, if the action is given by

$$S=\int d^4x\,e\left(-\frac{B_2}{2}\varphi^2T+\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\varphi\nabla^\mu\varphi+B_3\varphi T^\rho{}_{\mu\rho}\nabla^\mu\varphi-\frac{\varphi^{m_2+1}}{m_2+1}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

from this action, the equation of motion for φ reads $\Box\varphi+B_2\varphi T+B_3\varphi\nabla^\mu T^\rho{}_{\mu\rho}+\varphi^{m_2}=0$, where $B_2=1/6$, $B_3=1/3$, and $m_2=3$. Here, in deriving Eq. (3.4), we have used the relation $R=-T-2\nabla^\mu T^\nu{}_{\mu\nu}$. It is significant to obtain the above consequence, namely, the torsion scalar can non-minimally couple to a scalar field conformally, that the second term on the right-hand side of this relation is the total derivative, and that this total derivative term consists of both the torsion scalar and the Ricci scalar.

3.4 Trace-anomaly driven inflation

We study trace-anomaly driven inflation by following the observations in Ref. [29]. The trace anomaly is given by [37] $T_{\rm anomaly}=\tilde{c}_1\left[\mathscr{F}+(2/3)\Box R\right]+\tilde{c}_2\mathscr{G}+\tilde{c}_3\Box R$. Here, $\mathscr{F}=(1/3)R^2-2R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}+R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the square of the four-dimensional Weyl tensor, and $\mathscr{G}=R^2-4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}+$

[1] In Ref. [32], the finite-time future singularities in $F(T)$ gravity have been examined in detail.

$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet invariant. For N scalars, $N_{1/2}$ spinors, N_1 vector fields, N_2 (= 0 or 1) gravitons and $N_{\rm HD}$ higher-derivative conformal scalars, $\tilde{c}_1$ and $\tilde{c}_2$ are represented as

$$\tilde{c}_1 = \frac{N+6N_{1/2}+12N_1+611N_2-8N_{\rm HD}}{120(4\pi)^2}\,, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\tilde{c}_2 = -\frac{N+11N_{1/2}+62N_1+1411N_2-28N_{\rm HD}}{360(4\pi)^2}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

For an ordinary matter (except conformal scalars with higher-derivative terms), $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$ and $\tilde{c}_2 < 0$. Moreover, $\tilde{c}_3$ can be taken as an arbitrary value, because the finite renormalization of the local counterterm R^2 can shift the value of $\tilde{c}_3$. In the flat FLRW universe, we have $R = 12H^2 + 6\dot{H}$, $\mathscr{F} = 0$, $\mathscr{G} = 24\left(H^4 + H^2\dot{H}\right)$, and therefore the trace of energy-momentum tensor of T reads $T_{\rm T} = -\left(2/\kappa^2\right)\left(6H^2 + 3\dot{H} - F - 3\dot{H}F' - 12H^2F' + 36H^2\dot{H}F''\right)$ with the prime meaning the derivative with respect to T. It follows the gravitational field equations with the trace anomaly that we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\left(F + 3\dot{H}F' + 12H^2F' - 36H^2\dot{H}F''\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{2}{3}\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_3\right)\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + 3H\frac{d}{dt}\right)\left(12H^2 + 6\dot{H}\right) + 24\tilde{c}_2\left(H^4 + H^2\dot{H}\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For the de Sitter space, we have a constant Hubble parameter $H = H_{\rm const}$, so that Eq. (3.7) can be written to $0 = \left(2/\kappa^2\right)\left(F + 12H_{\rm const}^2F'\right) + 24\tilde{c}_2H_{\rm const}^4$. If $\tilde{c}_2 = 0$, namely, there is no contribution of the trace anomaly, we obtain $F_{\rm EH} = -2T + 12H_{\rm const}^2$, which is equivalent to general relativity with a cosmological constant. With $T = -6H_{\rm const}^2$, this expression can meet the above equation. For example, if $F(T) = T + \beta T^n$ with β and n are constants, the above equation yields $H_{\rm const}^2 = 0$ and/or $1 + (2n-1)\beta\left(-6H_{\rm const}^2\right)^{n-1} + 2H_{\rm inf}^2\tilde{c}_2\kappa^2 = 0$. In case of $n = 2$, provided that $\left(9\beta/\kappa^2\right) - \tilde{c}_2 > 0$, we get a de Sitter solution. Thus, exponential de Sitter inflation can occur. Since $\tilde{c}_2 < 0$ for an ordinary matter, if $\beta > 0$, the above relation satisfies. We remark that the de Sitter solution in T^2 gravity can be unstable, and thus T^2 inflation can end [29]. We also note that compared with T^2 gravity, for the action in Eq. (2.1) without matter, where $F(R) = R + \upsilon R^q$ with υ and q constants, the parameter region to produce the de Sitter solution is smaller.

4. $F(T)$ gravity theories from the Kaluza-Klein (KK) and Randall-Sundrum (RS) theories

In Ref. [10], four-dimensional effective $F(T)$ gravity theories is constructed from the five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein (KK) [13, 38, 39] and Randall-Sundrum (RS) [40, 41] theories.

4.1 From the KK theory

Provided that the ordinary KK reduction procedure [13, 38, 39] from the five-dimensional space-time to the four-dimensional one can also be used in $F(T)$ gravity. One of the dimensions of space is compactified to a small circle and the four-dimensional space-time is extended infinitely. The radius of the fifth dimension is taken to be of order of the Planck length in order for the KK effects not to be seen. Thus, the size of the circle is so small that phenomena in sufficiently low energies cannot be detected. In the following, we only explore the gravity part of the action and remove its matter part. The five-dimensional action for $F(T)$ gravity [42] is given by

${}^{(5)}S = \int d^5x \left|{}^{(5)}e\right| F({}^{(5)}T)/\left(2\kappa_5^2\right)$ with the torsion scalar ${}^{(5)}T \equiv (1/4)\,T^{abc}T_{abc} + (1/2)\,T^{abc}T_{cba} - T_{ab}{}^{a}T^{cb}{}_{c}$, the form of which is the same as that of the four-dimensional one, and the Latin indices $(a,b,\ldots = 0,1,2,3,5)$ with "5" the fifth-coordinate component. Here, the superscripts of "(5)" or the subscripts of "5" expresses the five-dimensional quantities, ${}^{(5)}e = \sqrt{{}^{(5)}g}$, where ${}^{(5)}g$ the determinant of ${}^{(5)}g_{\mu\nu}$, and $\kappa_5^2 \equiv 8\pi G_5 = \left({}^{(5)}M_{\rm Pl}\right)^{-3}$, where G_5 is the gravitational constant and $M_{\rm Pl}^{(5)}$ is the Planck mass. The five-dimensional metric is represented as ${}^{(5)}g_{\mu\nu} = {\rm diag}(g_{\mu\nu}, -\psi^2)$. Here, $\psi \equiv \tau/\tau_*$ is a dimensionless quantity and a homogeneous scalar field only with its time dependence. Also, τ is a homogeneous scalar field with a mass dimension and τ_* is a fiducial value of τ. With $e_a^A = {\rm diag}(1,1,1,1,\psi)$ and $\eta_{ab} = {\rm diag}(1,-1,-1,-1,-1)$, the four-dimensional effective action is written as $S_{\rm KK}^{\rm (eff)} = \int d^4x|e|\left[1/\left(2\kappa^2\right)\right]\psi F(T + \psi^{-2}\partial_\mu\psi\partial^\mu\psi)$.

For $F(T) = T - 2\Lambda_4$, where $\Lambda_4(>0)$ is the four-dimensional positive cosmological constant, with a scalar field σ as $\psi \equiv (1/4)\,\xi^2$, the above action is rewritten to [13] $S_{\rm KK}^{\rm (eff)}|_{F(T)=T-2\Lambda_4} = \int d^4x|e|\left(1/\kappa^2\right)\left[(1/8)\,\xi^2 T + (1/2)\,\partial_\mu\xi\,\partial^\mu\xi - \Lambda_4\right]$. In the flat FLRW space-time with the metric $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\sum_{i=1,2,3}\left(dx^i\right)^2$, we find $g_{\mu\nu} = {\rm diag}(1,-a^2,-a^2,-a^2)$ and $e_\mu^A = {\rm diag}(1,a,a,a)$. By using these expressions, we acquire the relation $T = -6H^2$. Accordingly, with the above relation, the gravitational field equations is derived as $(1/2)\,\dot{\xi}^2 - (3/4)\,H^2\xi^2 + \Lambda_4 = 0$ and $\dot{\xi}^2 + H\xi\dot{\xi} + (1/2)\,\dot{H}\xi^2 = 0$ [43], and the equation of motion for ξ reads $\ddot{\xi} + 3H\dot{\xi} + (3/2)\,H^2\xi = 0$. It follows from the above gravitational field equations that $(3/2)\,H^2\xi^2 - 2\Lambda_4 + H\xi\dot{\xi} + (1/2)\,\dot{H}\xi^2 = 0$. We get a solution of the Hubble parameter during inflation $H = H_{\rm inf} = {\rm constant}(>0)$ and $\xi = \xi_1\,(t/\bar{t}) + \xi_2$, where ξ_1 and $\xi_2(>0)$ are constants and $\bar{t}$ shows a time. In the limit of $t \to 0$, we see that exponential de Sitter inflation can happen as $H_{\rm inf} \approx (2/\xi_2)\sqrt{\Lambda_4/3}$, $a \approx \bar{a}\exp(H_{\rm inf}t)$, and $\xi \approx \xi_2$. Also, from the equation of motion for ξ, we obtain $\xi_1 \approx -(1/2)\,\xi_2 H_{\rm inf}\bar{t} \approx -\sqrt{\Lambda_4/3}\bar{t}$.

4.2 From the RS type-II model

The RS type-I model [40] consists of two branes. One is a brane with positive tension at $y = 0$ and the other is that with negative tension at $y = u$. Here, y denotes the fifth dimension. By using the warp factor $\exp(-2|y|/l)$ and the negative cosmological constant $\Lambda_5(<0)$ in the bulk, the five-dimensional metric is written as $ds^2 = \exp(-2|y|/l)\,g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2$ with $l = \sqrt{-6/\Lambda_5}$. In the limit $u \to \infty$, we have the RS type-II model [41], namely, the single brane with the positive tension exists in the anti-de Sitter (AdS) bulk space. The gravitational field equation on the brane in the RS type-II model has been derived in Ref. [44]. Recently, this procedure with (a) the induced (Gauss-Codazzi) equations on the brane, (b) the Israel's junction conditions on it, and (c) Z_2 symmetry of $y \leftrightarrow -y$, has been applied to teleparallel gravity [45]. In comparison with the case of ordinary curvature gravity, there appear additional terms originating from the projection on the brane of the vector portion of the torsion tensor in the bulk.

For the flat FLRW space-time, on the brane, the Friedmann equation reads $H^2\,(dF(T)/dT) = -(1/12)\left[F(T) - 4\Lambda - 2\kappa^2\rho_{\rm matter} - \left(\kappa_5^2/2\right)^2\mathscr{I}\rho_{\rm matter}^2\right]$, where $\mathscr{I} \equiv \left(11 - 60w_{\rm matter} + 93w_{\rm matter}^2\right)/4$ and a EoS of matter $w_{\rm matter} \equiv P_{\rm matter}/\rho_{\rm matter}$. The contributions of teleparallel gravity, which do not exist for curvature gravity, are involved in $\mathscr{I}$. Moreover, on the brane, the effective cosmological constant becomes $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_5 + \left(\kappa_5^2/2\right)^2\lambda^2$, where $\lambda(>0)$ is the brane tension and $G = [1/(3\pi)]\left(\kappa_5^2/2\right)^2\lambda$. In what follows, we examine the dark energy dominated stage, so that the contributions from matter, e.g., $\rho_{\rm matter}$ or $w_{\rm matter}$, can be neglected. For $F(T) = T - 2\Lambda_5$, by using

the relation $T=-6H^2$, we acquire a de Sitter solution $H=H_{\rm DE}=\sqrt{\Lambda_5+\kappa_5^4\lambda^2/6}=$ constant and $a(t)=a_{\rm DE}\exp\left(H_{\rm DE}t\right)$, where $a_{\rm DE}(>0)$ is a positive constant. Hence, the late time accelerated expansion of the universe can occur. For $F(T)=T^2/\bar{M}^2+\zeta\Lambda_5$, where $\bar{M}$ a mass scale and ζ is a constant, we get $H=H_{\rm DE}=\left\{\left(\bar{M}^2/108\right)\left[\left(\zeta-4\right)\Lambda_5-4\left(\kappa_5^2/2\right)^2\lambda^2\right]\right\}^{1/4}=$ constant. Here, since the content of the 4th root should be positive or zero, we see that $\zeta\geq 4+\left(\kappa_5^2\lambda^2\right)/\Lambda_5$.

5. Summary

In the present article, we have reviewed recent progress on issues of cosmic acceleration and theoretical natures of $F(R)$ gravity and $F(T)$ gravity. In the former part, we have described various cosmological and theoretical problems in $F(R)$ gravity. We have examined the conformal transformation from $F(R)$ gravity (i.e., the Jordan frame) to general relativity with a scalar field (i.e., the Einstein frame) and its inverse version. In addition, we have studied R^2 inflation (the Starobinsky inflation). Also, we have explained a unified scenario of inflation and the dark energy dominated stage. Moreover, we have presented the classification of the finite-time future singularities. Furthermore, we have examined neutron stars and the hyperon issue. Next, in the latter part, we have stated a number of cosmological issues as well as theoretical properties in $F(T)$ gravity. First of all, we have written the basic formulation of teleparallelism. Then, we have remarked the impossibility of the conformal transformation from the Jordan frame to the Einstein frame. We have further investigated the analogue of the Starobinsky inflation, namely, T^2 inflation. In addition, we have demonstrated the unification between inflation and the late time accelerated expansion of the universe. Furthermore, we have mentioned the finite-time future singularities in loop quantum cosmology (LQC). Moreover, we have shown a conformally invariant $F(T)$ gravity theory. Finally, we have reviewed our main results of Ref. [10] in terms of derivations of $F(T)$ gravity theories from the Kaluza-Klein (KK) and Randall-Sundrum (RS) theories.

It could be expected that through the investigations on various theoretical aspects of a number of gravity theories, we can acquire some clues to resolve the issues of cosmic acceleration including the mechanism of inflation in the early universe and the origin of dark energy at the present time.

Acknowledgments

The work is supported in part by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) # 25800136 (K.B.); that for Scientific Research (S) # 22224003 and (C) # 23540296 (S.N.); and Basic Sciences Task of Ministry of Education and Science (Russia) (S.D.O.).

References

[1] S. Capozziello, *Curvature quintessence*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11** (2002) 483 [gr-qc/0201033].

[2] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, *Is cosmic speed - up due to new gravitational physics?*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 043528 [astro-ph/0306438].

[3] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Modified gravity with negative and positive powers of the curvature: Unification of the inflation and of the cosmic acceleration*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 123512 [hep-th/0307288].

[4] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models*, *Phys. Rept.* **505** (2011) 59 [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].

[5] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy*, *eConf C* **0602061** (2006) 06 [*Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4** (2007) 115] [hep-th/0601213].

[6] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Extended Theories of Gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **509** (2011) 167 [arXiv:1108.6266 [gr-qc]].

[7] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Dark energy cosmology: the equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **342** (2012) 155 [arXiv:1205.3421 [gr-qc]].

[8] R. Aldrovandi and J. G. Pereira, *Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction*, Springer, Dordrecht 2012; "Teleparallel Gravity" in http://www.ift.unesp.br/users/jpereira/tele.pdf.

[9] P. Fiziev, *Compact static stars in minimal dilatonic gravity*, arXiv:1402.2813 [gr-qc];
S. S. Yazadjiev, D. D. Doneva, K. D. Kokkotas and K. V. Staykov, *Non-perturbative and self-consistent models of neutron stars in R-squared gravity*, arXiv:1402.4469 [gr-qc].

[10] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Effective $F(T)$ gravity from the higher-dimensional Kaluza-Klein and Randall-Sundrum theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **725** (2013) 368 [arXiv:1304.6191 [gr-qc]].

[11] K. -i. Maeda, *Inflation as a Transient Attractor in R**2 Cosmology*, *Phys. Rev. D* **37** (1988) 858.

[12] K. I. Maeda, *Towards the Einstein-Hilbert Action via Conformal Transformation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 3159 (1989).

[13] Y. Fujii and K. Maeda, *The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2003.

[14] S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Dark energy: The Equation of state description versus scalar-tensor or modified gravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **634** (2006) 93 [hep-th/0512118].

[15] A. A. Starobinsky, *A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 99.

[16] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].

[17] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], *Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208** (2013) 19 [arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO]].

[18] D. S. Salopek, J. R. Bond and J. M. Bardeen, *Designing Density Fluctuation Spectra in Inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **40** (1989) 1753.

[19] L. Sebastiani, G. Cognola, R. Myrzakulov, S. D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, *Nearly Starobinsky inflation from modified gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 023518 [arXiv:1311.0744 [gr-qc]].

[20] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, *A Class of viable modified f(R) gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 046009 [arXiv:0712.4017 [hep-th]].

[21] K. Bamba, C. -Q. Geng and C. -C. Lee, *Cosmological evolution in exponential gravity*, *JCAP* **1008** (2010) 021 [arXiv:1005.4574 [astro-ph.CO]].

[22] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, *Non-singular exponential gravity: a simple theory for early- and late-time accelerated expansion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 086006 [arXiv:1012.2280 [hep-th]].

[23] K. Bamba, A. Lopez-Revelles, R. Myrzakulov, S. D. Odintsov and L. Sebastiani, *Cosmic history of viable exponential gravity: Equation of state oscillations and growth index from inflation to dark energy era*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 015008 [arXiv:1207.1009 [gr-qc]].

[24] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, *Properties of singularities in (phantom) dark energy universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063004 (2005) [hep-th/0501025].

[25] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *The Universe future in modified gravity theories: Approaching the finite-time future singularity*, *JCAP* **0810** (2008) 045 [arXiv:0807.2575 [hep-th]].

[26] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello and S. D. Odintsov, *Maximal neutron star mass and the resolution of hyperon puzzle in modified gravity*, arXiv:1401.4546 [gr-qc].

[27] P. Demorest, T. Pennucci, S. Ransom, M. Roberts and J. Hessels, *Shapiro Delay Measurement of A Two Solar Mass Neutron Star*, *Nature* **467** (2010) 1081 [arXiv:1010.5788 [astro-ph.HE]].

[28] R. -J. Yang, *Conformal transformation in $f(T)$ theories*, *Europhys. Lett.* **93**, 60001 (2011) [arXiv:1010.1376 [gr-qc]].

[29] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Trace-anomaly driven inflation in $f(T)$ gravity and in minimal massive bigravity*, arXiv:1401.7378 [gr-qc].

[30] J. de Haro and J. Amoros, *Non-singular models of universes in teleparallel theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 071104 [arXiv:1211.5336 [gr-qc]].

[31] K. Bamba, J. de Haro and S. D. Odintsov, *Future Singularities and Teleparallelism in Loop Quantum Cosmology*, *JCAP* **1302** (2013) 008 [arXiv:1211.2968 [gr-qc]].

[32] K. Bamba, R. Myrzakulov, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Reconstruction of $f(T)$ gravity: Rip cosmology, finite-time future singularities and thermodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 104036 [arXiv:1202.4057 [gr-qc]].

[33] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, *Loop Quantum Cosmology: A Status Report*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 213001 [arXiv:1108.0893 [gr-qc]].

[34] M. Bojowald, *Quantum Cosmology: Effective Theory*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012) 213001 [arXiv:1209.3403 [gr-qc]].

[35] K. Bamba, S. D. Odintsov and D. Sáez-Gómez, *Conformal symmetry and accelerating cosmology in teleparallel gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 084042 [arXiv:1308.5789 [gr-qc]].

[36] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity*, IOP, Bristol 1992.

[37] M. J. Duff, *Twenty years of the Weyl anomaly*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** (1994) 1387 [hep-th/9308075].

[38] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos and P. G. O. Freund, *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*, Addison-Wesley, Reading 1987.

[39] J. M. Overduin and P. S. Wesson, *Kaluza-Klein gravity*, *Phys. Rept.* **283** (1997) 303 [gr-qc/9805018].

[40] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370 [hep-ph/9905221].

[41] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *An Alternative to compactification*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690 [hep-th/9906064].

[42] S. Capozziello, P. A. Gonzalez, E. N. Saridakis and Y. Vasquez, *Exact charged black-hole solutions in D-dimensional f(T) gravity: torsion vs curvature analysis*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 039 [arXiv:1210.1098 [hep-th]].

[43] C. -Q. Geng, C. -C. Lee, E. N. Saridakis and Y. -P. Wu, *'Teleparallel' Dark Energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **704** (2011) 384 [arXiv:1109.1092 [hep-th]].

[44] T. Shiromizu, K. -i. Maeda and M. Sasaki, *The Einstein equation on the 3-brane world*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 024012 [gr-qc/9910076].

[45] K. Nozari, A. Behboodi and S. Akhshabi, *Braneworld Teleparallel Gravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **723** (2013) 201 [arXiv:1212.5772 [gr-qc]].